|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Category** | **Professional Quality** **“>=90”** | **Expected**  **“80-89”** | **Acceptable** **“60-79”** |  **Below Expectation**  **“<60”** | **Score** |
| **Project Proposal Summary (15%)** | **1. Problem****Statement** | • Proposal Summaryillustrates clear understanding ofproblem• outstanding considerationfor need and potential useradaption | • illustrates goodunderstanding ofproblem• good consideration forneed and potential user adaption. | • illustrates littleunderstanding ofproblem.• It shows littleconsideration for needand potential useradaption. | • illustrates nounderstanding ofproblem.• It shows noconsideration for needand potential useradaption. | **------ /5** |
| **2. Goals and****Objectives** | A clear description of theproject goals andobjectives. | A good description ofthe project goals andobjectives. | A fair description ofthe project goals andobjectives. | A poor description of theproject goals andobjectives. | **------ /5** |
| **3. Timetable and****Workplan** | • All main activities events,millstones of the projectsare set in the project timetable.• A solid workplan isprovided with achievable project goals | • Most of the mainactivities/events/milestones of theprojects are set in theproject timetable.• A good workplan isprovided with achievable goals | • Few of the mainactivities/events/millstones of the projects are set in the project timetable.• Weak workplan toachieve the project goals | • None of the mainactivities/events/millstones of the projects are set inthe project timetable.• No workplan isprovided to achieve theproject goals | **------ /5** |
|  |
| **Intermediate Report (15%)** | **1. Problem****Formulation** | • A clear description of theproblem formulation is provided. | • A good description ofthe problem formulationis provided. | • Some description ofproblem formulation is provided. | • No description of theproblem formulation is provided. | **------ /5** |
| **2. Literature****Survey** | An excellent review forrecent literature is provided | A good review for recentliterature is provided | A fair review forrecent literature is provided | No literature review is provided | **------ /5** |
| **3. Proposed****Design/Solution** | • A well-presented high-level view of majorcomponents of the systemand their relationships witheach other is illustrated.• A well description thatrefer to graphical representations of diagrams that are included | • A good high-level viewof major components ofthe system and their relationships with eachother is illustrated.• A good description thatrefer to graphical representations ofdiagrams that are included | • Some part of high-level view of majorcomponents of thesystem and their relationships with eachother is illustrated.• Some descriptionthat refer to graphical representations ofdiagrams that are included | • No high-level view ofmajor components of thesystem and their relationships with eachother is illustrated.• No description that referto graphicalrepresentations ofdiagrams that are included | **------ /5** |
|  |
| **Final Report (30%)** | **1. Methodology** | A clear and solidmethodology on how toachieve the project deliverables is provided | A good realisticmethodology on how toachieve the project deliverables is provided | Methodology is fairly described in theproject | No methodology on howto achieve projectdeliverables is provided | **------ /10** |
| **2. Design Issues** | • Well defined designissues, constraints,assumptions, environmentalimplications and riskassessment and feasibility | • Good information onthe design issues,constraints, assumptions,environmentalimplications and riskassessment andfeasibility | • Some information ondesign issues,constraints,assumptions,environmentalimplications and riskassessment andfeasibility | No information on thedesign issues, constraints,assumptions,environmentalimplications and riskassessment and feasibility | **------ /10** |
| **3. Writing Quality and Using Standard Template** | • Report is highly easy toread and understand • organization of the overall report is highly coherent• Excellent use of standardtemplate• All required elements ofthe report are included. | • Report is easy to readand understand• organization of theoverall report is coherent• Good use of standardtemplate• Most required elementsof the report areincluded. | • Report is fairly easyto read and understand• organization of theoverall report is fairly coherent• Little use of standardtemplate• Few requiredelements of the reportare included. | • Report is not easy toread and understand• organization of theoverall report is Notcoherent• No use of standardtemplate• None of requiredelements of the report areincluded. | **------ /10** |
|  |
| **Oral Presentation (40%)** | **1. Subject****Knowledge** | Student explained andelaborated with full knowledge by answering all questions | Student explained andelaborate withknowledge by answering questions | Student tried toexplain and elaborated with knowledge byanswering questions | Student was not able toexplain and elaborate with knowledge by answering questions | **------ /15** |
| **2. Teamwork** | •Student well fulfilled hisroles and responsibilities.•Student had excellentcollaboration with hiscolleagues | •Student fulfilled most ofhis roles andresponsibilities.•Student had goodcollaboration with hiscolleagues | •Student fulfilled fewof his roles andresponsibilities.•Student had faircollaboration with hiscolleagues | •Student didn’t fulfill hisroles and responsibilities.•Student had badcollaboration with hiscolleagues | **------ /10** |
| **3. Timeline** | All requirements of theproposal were produced on time | Most of the proposalrequirements were produced on time | Few of the proposalrequirements were produced on time | None of the proposalrequirements were produced on time | **------ /5** |
| **4. Presentation** | Well delivery of oral presentation | Good delivery of oral presentation | Fair delivery of oral presentation | poor delivery of oral presentation | **------ /10** |
|  | **Total** | **------ /100** |